

Method in the Chaos – a step-by-step approach to ECG interpretation

Dariusz Kozłowski

Department of Cardiology and Electrotherapy, Medical University of Gdansk, Poland

Abstract

According to the expert opinion of the Working Group on Noninvasive Electrocardiology and Telemedicine of the Polish Cardiac Society, the interpretation (and report) of an electrocardiogram (ECG) consists of 10 steps. For the sake of simplicity, it is possible to simplify these rules to 7 steps. The aim of this article is to help refresh the clinical aspects of ECG interpretation and to hopefully clarify the confusion surrounding it.

Keywords: EKG • ECG • interpretation • how-to • guide

Citation

Kozłowski D. Method in the Chaos – a step-by-step approach to ECG interpretation. *Eur J Transl Clin Med* 2018;1(1):74-87.

DOI: 10.31373/ejtcmed/92255

According to the expert opinion of the Working Group on Noninvasive Electrocardiology and Telemedicine of the Polish Cardiac Society, the interpretation (and report) of an electrocardiogram (ECG) consists of 10 steps [1-2]. For the sake of simplicity, it is possible to simplify these rules to 7 steps. The ECG description should begin with the assessment of the baseline rhythm or rhythms (step 1). In the next step (step 2) the electric axis of the heart should be analyzed. The next step (step 3) is the analysis of all supraventricular and ventricular conduction disorders. Thus, from the third point begins the analysis of conduction disorders (bradyarrhythmia).

This is a very important point, without which you cannot evaluate other pathologies in the ECG (ventricular hypertrophy, acute coronary syndromes). Analysis of conduction disorders is best done step-by-step, starting from the highest “floor” – that is sino-atrial, then descending down the heart, to the atrio-ventricular and intraventricular. In the next step, (step 4), the structure of the ventricular muscle in terms of enlargement and hypertrophy of the heart cavities should be assessed. Step 5 analyzes everything that is associated with ischemic

heart disease, myocardial infarction and previous coronary events. This step also describes the morphology (shape) of ventricular syndromes for pathological Q-waves, QS complexes, and R-wave changes. We then analyze the ST segment, T-wave and QTc interval associated with acute coronary syndromes. Step 6 describes the arrhythmia occurring in the electrocardiogram, provided it is not a leading rhythm (tachyarrhythmia). The final step (step 7) is to describe the pacemaker function in terms of pacing effectiveness and possible disturbances of sensing (Figure 1).

1. Leading rhythm
2. Electrical axis
3. Conduction disorders
4. Hypertrophy
5. Ischemia & infarction
6. Arrhythmia
7. Pacemaker

Figure 1. The 7 steps of ECG interpretation

Corresponding author:

Dariusz Kozłowski, MD, PhD, Department of Cardiology and Electrotherapy; Medical University of Gdansk, 7 Dębinki, 80-211 Gdańsk, Poland, tel.: +48 58 300 00 00, e-mail: dkozl@gumed.edu.pl

Available online: ejtcmed.gumed.edu.pl

Copyright © Medical University of Gdańsk

This is Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0); license available at: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>.

Step 1 – Assessment of the leading rhythm or rhythms

When using the ECG in differential diagnosis of heart disorders, the first and fundamental step is to correctly assess the heart's rhythm. This should be done in three small steps (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Assessment of the leading rhythm or rhythms

First, assess the rate of the rhythm. Normal heart rate (*normocardia*) is defined as 60-100 beats per minute. A slow heart rate (*bradycardia*) is less than 60 beats per minute (bpm). Whereas an accelerated heart rate (*tachycardia*) is more than 100 bpm. It is fundamental to assess the heartrate. All of the ECG tracings shown below are recorded at paper speed 25 mm/s with voltage 10 mm/mV. This means that each large square (5 mm) corresponds to 200 ms, while 1 small square (1 mm) corresponds to 40ms and 1mV = 10 small, 1 mm squares (Figure 3). Thanks to this standardization, each interval, segment and wave can be assessed in terms of duration in time.




Figure 3. Assessing the rate of the leading rhythm

A simple way to approximate the heart rate on a 25 mm/s ECG tracing is the co-called "300 Rule." According to this rule, divide 300 by the number of large squares between the peaks of two R waves (RR interval). In the previous example, the RR interval was 400 ms (0,4 s), which corresponds to 2 large squares. Therefore, 300 divided by 2 equals 150, a heart rate of 150 beats/min. At 50 mm/s paper speed all the parameters described above need to be doubled, thus making it "The 600 Rule."

The next small step is to assess whether the rhythm is **regular or irregular** (Figures 4 and 5). Differentiating between the various types of regularity is not always simple because the human heart and its activity is influenced by the autonomic nervous system. Therefore we can recognize rhythms that are completely regular and incompletely regular. A **completely regular** rhythm is noted when using a compass or ECG ruler you determine that




Figure 4. Assessing the regularity of the leading rhythm

the intervals between the P or R waves are equal to each other with every beat. Sometimes a slight irregularity in the rhythm is noted (Figure 4). In such situation, an **incompletely regular** rhythm is defined if the intervals between the P waves and QRS complexes are different from each other in <10% of the preceding intervals or by 160 ms of the preceding intervals.

The assessment of irregular rhythms is much simpler. When documenting the rhythm as irregular, you need to note whether it is **completely chaotic** or **somewhat organized**. There are no precise criteria to differentiate those two types of irregular rhythms. A chaotic irregular rhythm cannot be organized in any way, e.g. atrial fibrillation. Whereas a more regular

irregularity is best seen in tachycardias with a functional alternating A-V block (Figure 5).




Figure 5. Assessing the irregularity of the leading rhythm

The final step of rhythm assessment is to determine the **source** – is this a sinus rhythm or non-sinus (atrial or nodal)? Figure 6 shows the above-described steps in assessment of the leading rhythm in the atria.




Figure 6. Assessing the P wave

The key is to assess the morphology (shape) of the main wave of atrial depolarization, either the P wave (sinus rhythm) or a P' wave (non-sinus atrial rhythm). If the particular rhythm is of sinus origin, then the impulse should propagate (spread) from the top to bottom of the atria and at the same time from the left to right side. This results in the normal correct polarization of P wave deflections we see in particular leads. The inferior leads II, III and aVF best show the top-to-bottom direction of the propagation of depolarization. In case of a sinus rhythm, the P waves in those leads should be all positive (+). In order to assess whether the sinus rhythm propagates from the right atrium to the left atrium, pay attention to leads aVR and aVL. A normal atrial depolarization wave (in other words an impulse from the sino-atrial node) must move away from the aVR

lead (negative (-) P wave) and towards the aVL lead (positive (+) P wave). Limb lead I can be helpful in assessing the source of the rhythm (Figure 6).

If after analyzing the above-mentioned leads you are still not sure of the rhythm, then it is worth to look at one of the precordial leads (e.g. V1). The V1 lead is positioned above the right ventricle but

in the transverse plane, therefore it does not show atrial activation as clearly as the previously mentioned leads. In lead V1 an impulse propagating from the right atrium will have a positive-negative deflection (\pm), whereas an impulse propagating from the left atrium will be shown as a positive P wave (+).

It is worth adding that the aVR and aVL leads can provide more details, such as propagation of impulses from the lateral walls of the atria, the common atrial septum and from the superior or inferior parts of the atria. In the first situation the P wave deflections are in opposite directions, while in the second they point to the same direction. If the P wave is negative (-) in the aVR lead and positive (+) in aVL \rightarrow the impulse is propagating from the lateral wall of the

right atrium. If the P wave is positive (+) in the aVR lead and negative (-) in aVL \rightarrow the impulse is propagating from the lateral wall of the left atrium. Additionally, if the P waves are negative (-) in both the aVR and aVL leads \rightarrow the impulse is propagating from the superior part of the interatrial septum. If the P waves are positive (+) in both the aVR lead and aVR leads \rightarrow the impulse is propagating from the inferior part of the interatrial septum. The limb lead I shows whether the impulse propagated from the right atrium (positive (+) P wave) or the left atrium (negative (-) P wave). All of the above details are summarized in Figure 6.

Step 2 – Assessment of the electric axis of the heart

It is important to remember that electric axis should not be assessed in case of tachycardia or lack of intrinsic




Figure 7. Assessing the electrical axis of the heart

QRS complexes (i.e. paced rhythm). It is best to assess the electric axis using limb leads I and aVF. Lead II is useful in assessing a pathological left axis deviation. The following types of electric axis can be seen in ECGs: normal axis (sometimes referred to as intermediate axis) (-30° to +90°), right axis deviation (+90° to +180°), left axis deviation (-30° to -90°), indeterminate axis (sometimes referred to as Northwest axis) (-90° to -180°) (Figure 7).

As mentioned earlier, the latest guidelines state that the electric axis of QRS complexes should be assessed based on the inferior lead aVF (instead of lead III as was taught in the past). In addition, the old term “physiological left axis deviation” is no longer accepted, thus **normal axis** is now referred to as **intermediate** and defined by positive QRS deflections in I and aVF (right half of the wheel). If the QRS complex is positive in lead I but negative in aVF, then assess lead II. If in lead II the QRS net is positive, then the axis is still intermediate (normal), whereas if negative then the ECG shows a left axis deviation.

As mentioned earlier, a **left axis deviation** (-30° to -90°) is always pathological and its main causes are: left bundle branch block (LBBB), left anterior fascicular block (LAFB), left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), inferior wall infarction with necrosis, pre-excitation syndrome WPW (right-sided additional pathway), hyperkalemia, continuous ventricular pacing.

Right axis deviation is defined by negative QRS deflections in lead I and positive in aVF (+90° to +180°, left half of the

wheel) and its main causes are: variant of norm, right bundle branch block (RBBB), right ventricular hypertrophy (RVH), left posterior fascicular block (LPFB), lateral wall infarction with necrosis (pathological Q in lead I), pre-excitation syndrome WPW (left-sided additional pathway), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), pulmonary embolism, ventricular septal defect.

Indeterminate axis (Northwest axis) is defined by QRS complexes deflected downwards in leads I and aVF and its main causes are: ventricular tachycardia, intraventricular conduction delay, arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia, anterolateral wall infarction with necrosis, WPW pre-excitation syndromes, paced rhythm, hyperkalemia, COPD, RVH.

Precise measurement of the electric axis of the heart using the Cabrera’s wheel with the Einthoven’s triangle is shown in Figures 8 and 9, whereas Figure 10 shows the main causes of its deviation.




Figure 8. Assessing the electrical axis of the heart




Figure 9. Assessing the electrical axis of the heart




Figure 10. The many etiologies of heart axis deviation

Step 3 – Assessment of conduction disorders

Step 3 is to assess conduction disorders, from the sinus node up to intraventricular (Figure 11). In the atria there can be sino-atrial (SA) conduction blocks or inter-atrial blocks. Sino-atrial blocks can be diagnosed after a sudden change in heart rhythm, most often a sudden bradycardia. In such cases you must pay attention which parts of the ECG tracing are missing or “dropped.” A sino-atrial block causes a missing P wave and also the following QRS complex. Whereas if only the QRS complex is missing, then it is a atrio-ventricular block.




Figure 11. Assessment of conduction disorders

As mentioned above, a **sino-atrial (SA) block** can be diagnosed only on a tracing that shows a change of rhythm from normal to sudden bradycardia (or vice-versa). On such ECG tracing there is an obvious difference

between the sinus rhythm that often is twice as fast as the bradycardia.

SA blocks are not subdivided into 1st-3rd degree the way atrioventricular blocks are. The reason is that a 1st degree SA block is impossible to assess in a standard ECG and it appears very similar to respiratory sinus arrhythmia. Whereas a 3rd degree SA block is very difficult to differentiate from a pause due to sinus arrest.

The biggest challenge is to differentiate a 2nd degree SA block type I (Wenckebach) from type II (Mobitz). In type I the PP interval becomes shorter and shorter until it suddenly extends (pause). Whereas in type II there

are intermittent missing P waves and such pauses are multiples of the PP interval ($\pm 100\text{ms}$). An ECG of a patient with sinus arrest shows a gradual prolongation of the PP interval followed by a sudden pause. The pause usually lasts >2 seconds and on the tracing appears as 140% of the PP interval's

length (Figure 12).

Interatrial (IA) blocks are disorders of conduction between the right and left atria. These blocks are recognizable by a changed shape of the P wave without changes




Figure 12. Conduction disorders: sino-atrial blocks

in rhythm. One example can be an intermittent tall or deformed P wave imitating *P pulmonale* or *P mitrale* in a patient without valve disease. The main criterium is excess prolongation of a biphasic P wave (first phase positive, second phase negative) in inferior leads II, III and aVF. The reason why the P wave is biphasic is that the impulse traveling towards the AV node reaches the left atrium and stimulates it in the non-physiological direction from bottom to the top (Figure 13).




Figure 13. Conduction disorders: inter-atrial block




Figure 14. Conduction disorders: atrioventricular blocks

Blocks that involve the inferior part of atria, more specifically the atrioventricular junction are referred to as **atrioventricular (AV) blocks** (Figure 14). These are the most common blocks and are rather simple to recognize on a tracing. It is worth remembering that the physiological path of depolarization in the heart is as follows:

- (1) SA node,
- (2) working muscle of the atria,
- (3) AV node,
- (4) Bundle of His,
- (5) Bundle branches,
- (6) working muscle of the ventricles.

This physiological sequence is referred to as atrio-ventricular association and is seen on an ECG tracing as PQ interval (corresponds to AV conduction) of 120-200 ms (0,12-0,20 s).

The first subtype is **1st degree AV block** which is defined as prolonged duration of conduction through the AV junction. A good term for it is *concealed block* because it does not cause any clinical symptoms. On an ECG tracing it is recognized by PQ (or PR) interval prolonged >200 ms with intact atrio-ventricular association.

A **2nd degree AV block** (also referred to as partial block) causes fewer impulses to be conducted to the ventricles (as the name suggests, some impulses are blocked at the AV junction). It causes conduction of every other (or less) impulses with normal duration. There are 4 subtypes of this block: type I (Wenckebach), type II (Mobitz), type 2:1 and advanced block.

In *2nd degree AV block type I* (Wenckebach; in English literature referred to as Mobitz I), there is a prolonged duration of AV conduction (gradual prolongation of the PQ/PR interval) to the point that the particular impulse is not conducted at all and there following P wave is not followed by a QRS complex (in clinical jargon this is a “dropped QRS”).

In *2nd degree AV block type II* (Mobitz; in English literature referred to as

Mobitz II) the PQ (PR) interval is constant but some P waves are not conducted to the ventricles, thus missing QRS complexes are seen on the tracing. This block can have a regular time intervals, such as 3:2 (3 P waves for every 2 QRS complexes), 4:3 or 5:4.

The 2:1 AV block is somewhat between the Type I and Type II block. Currently this block is considered separate because its mechanism is between that of Type I (PQ prolongation leading to a blocked impulse) and Type II (blocked impulse without preceding PQ prolongation). If more than one QRS is blocked (e.g. 3:1, 4:1), then an *advanced block* is diagnosed.

3rd degree AV block is a complete block of all conduction between the atria and ventricles. It is a situation in which the atria have their own rhythm (e.g. from the SA node), while the ventricles rely on their own escape rhythm. 3rd degree AV blocks are divided into two subtypes: proximal and distal. A *proximal 3rd degree AV block* is recognized by junctional (escape) beats with narrow QRS complexes and an escape rhythm of ~40-50/min.

Whereas in *distal 3rd degree AV block* the escape rhythm is generated by the Purkinje fibers which leads to wide QRS complexes at a slow rate of ~25-35/min. A distal 3rd degree AV block is usually seen in patients with MAS (Morgagni-Adams-Stokes) Syndrome (cardiogenic syncope) which is a medical emergency and an absolute indication for immediate admission to the hospital. If the patient with MAS does not have an escape rhythm (only P waves are seen on the heart monitor or ECG tracing) → **resuscitate immediately and call for help.**

An **intraventricular block** is a delay of conduction in any part of the heart located inferiorly to the AV bundle (bundle of His) (Figure 15). Such block is usually caused by an interruption of conduction along the fibers of

the respective branches of the AV bundle (right – RBBB and left – LBBB) or of the respective fascicles of the left bundle branch (anterior – LAFB or posterior - LPFB). To diagnose an intraventricular block, the ECG tracing must show wide QRS complexes (>0.12 s) and a delayed intrinsic deflection in the blocked area. In this situation, part of the cardiac muscle is no longer stimulated, therefore the shape of the QRS complex has specific changes which allow us to diagnose intraventricular blocks.

The criteria to diagnose **right bundle branch block (RBBB)** are:

- (1) wide S waves >40 ms or S>R in leads I and V₆,
- (2) QRS complex shaped as RsR' lub rSR' lub rsR' in leads V₁ and/or V₂,
- (3) wide QRS (>0,12 s),
- (4) delayed intrinsicoid deflection ≥ 50 ms in V₁, and
- (5) deflections of ST-T opposite of the QRS deflection in V₁.

In RBBB, the early part of the QRS complex has a normal shape. Only after 40-60ms the QRS becomes deformed with a secondary R wave in right ventricle leads and a deep S wave in left ventricle leads. This is due to the pathological right deviation of the mean electrical vector of ventricular depolarization.

Thus, in RBBB the QRS complexes in leads V₁ and V₂ are tall double spikes and usually have an rsR or rSR configuration. Sometimes they only consist of a wide, double-spiked R wave or have a qR configuration. In leads I, II and the LV anterior leads the QRS complexes consist of a narrow R wave and a wide „showel-like” S wave. In cases of RBBB the electrical axis of the heart is usually normal and rarely deviated to the right or left. In case of an ECG tracing in which the QRS complexes have a shape that meets the criteria of RBBB but their width is >0.10 s but <0.12 s, you may only diagnose an *incomplete RBBB*.

The criteria to diagnose a **complete left bundle branch block (LBBB)** are:

- (1) wide, double-spiked R wave in leads V₅, V₆ i aVL,
- (2) no Q wave in leads I and V₆,
- (3) wide QRS complex >0,12 s,
- (4) delayed intrinsicoid deflection




Figure 15. Conduction disorders: QRS assessment

≥ 60 ms in leads V5 and V6 (optionally in I and/or aVL),

- (5) ST-T level opposite to the QRS deflections in V5-V6.

In sum, in leads V1-V2 QRS complexes are negative with short R waves or none at all (in such case that does not indicate necrosis of the myocardium) and deep S waves. In leads V5 and V6 the QRS complexes are positive, monophasic and with a double-spiked peak or ascending limb of the complex. Another sign of LBBB is the lack of „septal” Q wave in leads I, aVL, V5 and V6, corresponding to initial depolarization of the intraventricular septum from left to right. Whereas in the ECG of a patient with LBBB the presence of Q waves in the above-mentioned leads is pathological and suggests ischemia of the apex or the antero-lateral wall of the heart. Always **remember that a new diagnosis of LBBB (the block not present in previous ECG tracings) and chest pain are highly suggestive of acute coronary syndrome and such patient requires consultation with the nearest cardiac catheterization (invasive cardiology) laboratory.**

Downsloping ST segment depression and negative T waves also fit in the picture of LBBB. Remember that a lack of this reciprocity does not mean a diagnosis of anterior or lateral wall ischemia. Negative T waves in precordial leads should be interpreted with caution as they can be a sign of myocardial ischemia or non-specific changes due to cellular memory of an abnormal depolarization pathway. In LBBB the electrical axis of the heart is usually located in the -30° to $+60^\circ$ range (normal axis).

In **left anterior fascicular block (LAFB)**; sometimes referred to as Left Anterior Hemiblock - LAH) all of the criteria below must be met:

- (1) left axis deviation ($+45^\circ$ to $+90^\circ$),
- (2) qR configuration of the QRS complex in lead aVL,
- (3) a wide R wave (time to peak of the R wave > 45 ms in lead aVL),
- (4) narrow QRS < 120 ms.

Similarly, in order to recognize a **left posterior fascicular block (LPFB)**, all of the following criteria must be met:

- (1) right axis deviation ($+90^\circ$ to $+180^\circ$),
- (2) qR complexes in leads III and aVF,
- (3) rS complexes in leads I and aVL,
- (4) time to the peak of the R wave > 45 ms in lead aVF,
- (5) QRS complex < 120 ms and

- (6) no signs of RVH.

In LPFB the q wave in leads III and aVF does not need to meet any criteria of width or amplitude. In case the Q waves meet the criteria of pathological Q wave, then you must diagnose ACS and LPFB. If the QRS is wide, then it is not possible to recognize LPFB (except in patients with coexisting RBBB).

Step 4 – Assessment of the hypertrophy of cardiac chambers

To assess **left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH)** you need to use criteria based on voltage. The two most commonly used are: Cornell Criteria (height of S wave in V3 + height of R wave in aVL > 28 mm (♂), > 20 mm (♀) and the Sokolov-Lyon Index (S in V1 + R in V5/V6 > 35 mm (> 40 years old), > 40 mm (30-40 years old), > 60 mm (16-30 years old). There are also other criteria such as R-V5 > 26 mm, R-V6 > 20 mm, the largest R + S > 45 mm in any precordial lead or S-II + R-I > 26 mm in limb leads, R-aVL > 12 mm (except for LAH), R-I > 14 mm, SaVR > 15 mm and delayed intrinsicoid deflection $> 0,05$ (V5, V6) with downsloping ST segment depression and negative T wave.

For the **right ventricle hypertrophy (RVH)** the criteria are: dominant R-V1 ≥ 7 mm, sum R-V1 + S-V5 or V6 $> 10,5$ mm, R/S ratio in V1 > 1 or R/S in V5/V6 ≤ 1 , rSR' in V1 $\geq R'$ > 10 mm, qR complex in V1 and delayed intrinsicoid deflection $> 0,035$ (V1, V2), but $< 0,05$ s, deep S in V5-V6 and downsloping ST segment depression, negative T wave, asymmetric, negative-positive, and in V1,V2 asymmetric, negative-positive. The simplified criteria of hypertrophy in ECG are shown in Figure 16.




Figure 16. Assessment of cardiac hypertrophy

Atria should also be assessed for hypertrophy (enlargement). **Right atrial enlargement (RAE)** is recognized by a specific shape of the P wave, known as *P-pulmonale* ($> 2,5$ mm tall P wave in at least one limb lead and a $> 1,5$ mm tall P wave in V1 or V2). Whereas **left**

atrial enlargement (LAE) produces *P-mitrale* (P wave that is >120 ms long, time between its two peaks (or humps) ≥ 40 ms or a positive-negative or negative P wave in V1 (negative phase at least 0,04 s and 1 mm deep). Remember that the patient can have hypertrophy/enlargement of both chambers (i.e. both atria or both ventricles). In order to diagnose hypertrophy of both ventricles, at least one RVH and at least one LVH criteria must be met.

Different criteria exist for **hypertrophy of both ventricles** (LVH+RVH): deep S waves in V5 or V6 or right axis deviation and tall double-spiked QRS complexes in several leads. Biventricular hypertrophy usually presents with tall biphasic RS complexes in precordial leads V2, V3, V4 (Katz-Wachtel phenomenon).

Step 5 – Assessment of ischemic changes and infarction

The next step is the assessment of the ST segment (1), T wave (2) and pathological Q waves (3) in terms of acute coronary syndromes (unstable angina, STEMI, NSTEMI) and necrosis in the past (Figure 17). The key guidelines are described in the Forth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction [3]. An ST segment ele-




Figure 17. Assessment of ischemic changes and infarction




Figure 18. Ischemic changes and infarction: ST elevation

vation that is new and extended in duration (e.g. >20 minutes), especially with reciprocal ST depression in other leads is highly pathognomonic for **acute coronary syndrome** (ACS). Such ECG findings usually correspond to acute occlusion of a coronary artery and causes myocardial ischemia with necrosis. Besides the above-mentioned ST changes, myocardial ischemia or acute infarction might also cause PR segment and/or QRS changes (Figures 18 and 19).

The earliest ECG signs of myocardial ischemia are T wave and ST segment changes. Tall, positive and symmetric **T waves** in at least 2 contiguous leads (referring to the same wall of the heart, see below) are an early sign of ACS and might appear sooner than ST elevation. Intermittent Q waves might be seen in ECG of a patient during acute ischemia and (rarely) during acute infarction after successful reperfusion.

Another important element to pay attention to is the **J point** which links the QRS complex with the ST segment (Figures 19 and 20). The J point is used to assess the amount of ST segment elevation or depression. Except for V2 and V3, to make the diagnosis, the ECG must show a new (or presumably new) ST segment elevation by at least 0,1 mV (1 mm). Remember that healthy men <40 years of age can have a physiological J point elevation of up to 0,25 mV in lead V2 or V3, decreasing with age. Whereas healthy women can have up to 0,15 mV J point elevation (Figure 18). Just as ST segment changes, the J point elevations need to be shown in contiguous leads: anterior leads (V1–V6), inferior leads (II, III, aVF) and lateral leads (I, aVL). Additional leads such as V3R and V4R correspond to right ventricle, whereas leads V7–V9 correspond to the posterior (inferobasal) wall (Figure 18).

It is important to remember that acute myocardial ischemia rarely causes ST segment changes that meet the criteria in just one lead with ST changes that are slightly below the criteria in corresponding leads. Furthermore, ST segment and T wave changes that are below the criteria do not exclude ACS or an evolving myocardial infarction. During an episode of acute chest pain, a pseudo-normalization of T waves which were previously negative might also indicate acute myocardial ischemia (Figure 19).

Because many conditions cause ST segment and T wave changes, the differential diagnosis of primary




Figure 19. Ischemic changes and infarction: without ST elevation




Figure 20. Ischemic changes and infarction: secondary changes

ST-T changes in an ECG of a patient with chest in pain must always include:

- (1) pulmonary embolism,
- (2) electrolyte imbalance,
- (3) hypothermia,
- (4) pericarditis,
- (5) myocarditis,
- (6) stroke.

Therefore, when interpreting & describing the ECG you must decide if the ST-T changes are primary (characteristic of myocardial ischemia), secondary (due to other causes, such as depolarization wave disturbances e.g. pre-excitation syndromes), non-specific (do not meet the criteria of primary nor secondary) or perhaps chronic (due to past ACS) (Figure 20).

In patients with LBBB it is more difficult to recognize the ECG changes due to acute ischemia. In such situations it is helpful to look for ST elevations of at least 1 mm in the same direction as QRS complexes. If the QRS complexes

are negative (downwards), then the ST elevations must meet the criterion of at least 5 mm at the J point. Remember that in case of LBBB the contiguous leads criterion no longer applies, therefore an ST segment change in just one lead is sufficient. Whereas ST depressions must be at least 1mm deep at the J point. In patients with RBBB there are often ST-T changes in leads V1-V3, such as ST elevations and pathological Q waves.

Regardless of clinical symptoms, Q waves or QS complexes without the presence of factors that alter the QRS shape are pathognomonic for myocardial necrosis in patients with ischemic heart disease. The ECG changes indicating a past ACS are most specific when Q waves appear in several leads or groups of leads. If the Q waves coexist with ST or T changes in the same leads, then the likelihood of past ACS is greater, but **not** certain. This applies to Q waves that are $>0,02$ s and $> 0,03$ s and $< 0,1$ mV deep. Such Q waves usually indicate a past MI if coexisting with negative T waves in the same group of leads. That is why the ECG is not the tool to assess the time elapsed since a coronary event (Figure 21).




Figure 21. Ischemic changes and infarction: pathological Q waves and QS complexes

Although the ECG is poor at estimating the time of ischemia, we cannot treat every ECG tracing with signs of myocardial ischemia as evidence of an ACS. ST segment assessment has several pitfalls that lead to wrong diagnosis. The most common of which is a wide QRS due to intraventricular blocks (because it causes secondary ST changes) or QT prolongation (because the shape of T wave is changed). Please **remember that ECG is only one of several tests that suggests the presence (or absence) of certain pathologies and the final clinical diagnosis is the sum of many other pieces of information.**

In case of *tachycardia* (>100 bpm), it is fundamental to distinguish *regular* from *irregular* rhythms. The *regular* algorithm further differentiates regular tachycardias into narrow complex (QRS<120 ms; determines precise origin of the tachycardia) and wide (broad) complex (QRS>120 ms, determines ventricular or non-ventricular origin) (Figure 22).

When analyzing regular tachycardias, it is fundamental to carefully look for waves that confirm the function of particular cardiac chambers. This is much easier to do in case of **narrow complex tachycardias**. The algorithm shown in Figure 23 allows diagnosing the particular type of tachycardia. However the first and key step is to make sure that the tachycardia is indeed regular.

Step 6 – Assessment of arrhythmias




Figure 22. Assessment of arrhythmias




Figure 23. Arrhythmias: regular narrow complex tachycardia

The next step is to focus on atrial function and find P, P' or F waves. Afterwards, the next step is to the AV conduction and the algorithm splits into 1:1 and non-1:1 conduction.

The most common examples of non-1:1 conduction are *atrial tachycardia (AT)* or *atrial flutter (AFL)*. AT is <250 bpm and characteristically presents with P' waves with a segment of isoelectric line. Whereas AFL is faster (atria contract at 250-300 bpm), with 2:1 conduction and there is no isoelectric line visible between the F waves which have a sawtooth pattern.

In case of 1:1 conduction, the key question is: *what is the relationship of P waves to QRS complexes during the tachycardia – in front of or behind the QRS complexes?* The way to answer it is to measure from the start of the R wave to the start of the P' wave. If $P'R > RP'$ then the P' wave is behind the QRS complex, whereas if $P'R < RP'$ then it is in front of the QRS.

If the P' wave is behind the QRS complex then it means that the atria are stimulated after the ventricles are stimulated, which means there is a reentry pathway and only two arrhythmias are possible: AVNRT or AVRT. The first spins in the node, while the second spins between the atria and ventricles via additional pathway (Wolf-Parkinson-White syndrome). In order to properly differentiate them:

$RP' \text{ distance} < 70 \text{ ms} \rightarrow \text{AVNRT}$ or $> 70 \text{ ms} \rightarrow \text{AVRT}$.




Figure 24. Arrhythmias: regular wide complex tachycardia

One of the simplest algorithms for differentiating **wide complex tachycardias** is the *aVR algorithm*, also known as the *regular wide complex tachycardia algorithm* (Figure 24). It was designed by a team of mostly Hungarian cardiologists, therefore it is named the Vereckei algorithm after its first author. The underlying principle of this algorithm is to assess the speed of ventricular conduction shown in lead aVR [4]. Specifically, the key is to assess the rate of the first and last 40 ms of the QRS complex in a wide complex tachycardia. If the WCT is caused by a supraventricular tachycardia (SVT), then the initial activation of the septum should be fast and slows down at the end of activation. Whereas during a WCT caused by ventricular tachycardia (VT) the situation is opposite: the initial activation is initially slower than in the final phase.

Assessment of QRS complex morphology (shape) is focused on finding the dominant R wave in the aVR lead – this is critical for diagnosing VT. In addition, the R wave needs to be the beginning of the QRS complex (so-called *initial R wave*). If such initial R wave is present in the QRS complex, then the patient has VT and requires EKG monitoring and treatment because of high risk of becoming hemodynamically unstable and requiring resuscitation. If not, then check if a q or r wave >40ms is present. If yes, then the patient also has VT and requires treatment. Finally, if check if the notch is present on the descending arm of the QS or QRS complex in lead aVR. If yes, then once again the patient has VT and requires treatment. In summary, presence of a monophasic R wave or an Rs complex (which occur in ~40% of VT) in the ECG is sufficient to diagnose VT and finish differential diagnosis.

If none of the above criteria are met, the next step is to assess the V_i/V_t (*ventricle initial/ventricle terminal*) in lead aVR. The V_i/V_t criterion is also based on assessing the rate of increase of the first and last 40 ms of the QRS complex. In the 40 ms segment you count how many small (1 mm) boxes-long is the QRS complex. The first 40 ms is the V_i (initial part of ventricular complex), while V_t is the final 40ms is the terminal part. A $V_i/V_t \leq 1$ indicates VT, whereas $V_i/V_t > 1$ indicates SVT with BBB.

If the AV conduction is 1:1 and the P waves are in front of the QRS complexes, then the depolarization is downward (antegrade activation, not reentry). In such case, analyzing the P wave is sufficient to recognize the type of tachycardia. If the P wave meets the criteria of sinus wave, then the tachycardia is sinus in origin as well (*sinus tachycardia*, ST). If it does not meet the criteria, then the rhythm is (*atrial tachycardia*, AT). Whereas if the wave has a “sawtooth” pattern, then it is an F wave of atrial flutter (AFL) with 1:1 conduction.




Figure 25. Assessment of irregular arrhythmias




Figure 26. Arrhythmias: assessment of complete irregularity

Let's not forget that tachycardias can also present as irregular rhythms and therefore require different criteria of assessment (Figures 25 and 26). The most common irregular tachycardia is *atrial fibrillation* (AF). This is also the most common of all atrial arrhythmias and it can be easily recognized on the ECG by the lack of P wave (due to irregular electrical/mechanical activity of the atria). Instead of P waves, AF presents with irregular and polymorphic fibrillation waves (f waves, usually >300-350/min, best seen in V1 and V2) and usually a completely irregular rate of QRS complexes. However, in some patients AF presents with a regular ventricular response (QRS complexes appear regularly on the ECG) and this situation usually occurs in:

- (1) the presence of an arrhythmia other than AF, e.g. atrial flutter (AFL),
- (2) the presence of a complete III° block with an escape rhythm from the AV junction (narrow complex) or from the ventricles (wide complex),
- (3) a non-paroxysmal junctional tachycardia (NPJT) or a paroxysmal AVNRT,
- (4) constant ventricular pacing in VVI mode or in case the device switches to the VVI mode (DDD→VVI).

In case of irregular tachycardia due to an AV block, the diagnoses are as follows:

- (1) if the ventricular response is <50 bpm then the diagnosis is usually complete III° block with a ventricular escape rhythm,
- (2) if 50-100 bpm then the leading rhythm is an accelerated ventricular escape rhythm,
- (3) if >100 bpm then it is a VT.

Another example of a fast and irregular rhythm is *multifocal atrial tachycardia* (MAT) which presents with

polymorphic P' waves with various conduction to the ventricles (Figure 26). If this irregular rhythm is organized, then most often it is caused by additional, premature atrial, junctional or ventricular contractions (APC, JPC and VPC, respectively). A detailed algorithm for assessing irregular rhythms is shown in Figure 27.

Another irregular wide complex tachycardia is a *polymorphic ventricular tachycardia*. In such arrhythmia the wide QRS complexes constantly (from




Figure 27. Arrhythmias: assessment of organized irregularity

beat to beat) change their morphology (hence the name "polymorphic"). An example is *torsade de pointes* (TdP), an arrhythmia in which the QRS complexes change their amplitudes and „twist" 180° across the isoelectric line every 10-15 beats. In contrast to a bidirectional tachycardia, this change of morphology occurs smoothly.

The most common regular (organized) arrhythmias (*allorhythmia rhythmica*) are additional atrial or ventricular contractions (Figure 25). *Atrial premature contractions* (IPC) are one of the most common causes of irregular heart rate. They can originate anywhere in the SA node, the atria or the AV junction. They present with characteristic P' waves and narrow QRS complexes. In case of APC originating in the AV junction, the P' waves appear as reentry waves (negative in II, III i aVF) or do not appear at all (usually hidden inside the QRS complex).

Premature ventricular contractions (PVC) are single additional impulses from the ventricular muscle tissue. Usually they appear as a premature wide QRS complex with an abnormally wide shape. Such 1:1 frequency is described as *bigeminy*, whereas a 1 PVC for every 2 conducted QRS complexes is described as *trigeminy*. After the PVC there might be a compensatory or non-compensatory pause, whose symptoms are completely opposite.

Patients experience a compensatory pause as a sudden but short-lasting cardiac arrest after which the heart rate returns to normal. Whereas a non-compensatory pause does not cause the patients any symptoms despite the fact that their heart rate is disturbed. There are also interpolated VPCs, which essentially „double” the heart rate, while VPC with pauses cause a „double” slowing down of the heart rate, a so-called *pseudobradycardia*.

Step 7 – Electrical pacing of the heart (pacemaker)

The final step is to assess the function of the implanted pacemaker or cardioverter-defibrillator. It is fundamental to determine if the device is effectively stimulating the heart and what type of disturbance of sensing is visible in the ECG (Figure 28).




Figure 28. Assessment of electrical pacing of the heart

An effective pacing can be recognized on the ECG when the delivered „peak” is directly (the several millisecond-long delay is impossible to observe on a standard ECG tracing) followed by a wave from the stimulated chamber: a P wave (if atria are stimulated) or an R

wave (in case of ventricular stimulation). An exception to this rule are patients with a significant heart defect or hypertrophy with coexisting fibrosis, because that delay might consistently last several dozen milliseconds.

Whereas if the interval between the delivered peak and the chamber’s response is different from beat to beat, then it is highly likely that the device is not stimulating effectively. The definition of ineffective stimulation is lack of visible QRS complex in less than 40 ms since the stimulating impulse. Note: it can be difficult to identify the delivered impulse in ECGs of patients with bipolar devices or atrial pacing. Furthermore, assessment of pacing effectiveness might be difficult when the shape of the paced QRS and intrinsic (patient’s own) QRS complexes is similar. That is why it is helpful to analyze and compare the QRS complexes in all the available leads of the ECG. Remember that a lack of visible atrial or ventricular re-

sponse to pacing might be due to the impulse being delivered exactly during the chamber’s refractory period, therefore you should not describe this situation as ineffective pacing.

Besides pacing effectively, implanted devices must correctly receive and respond (referred to as *sensing*) to the heart’s intrinsic impulses. An implanted device might be functioning properly (correct sensing), be not sensitive enough to intrinsic impulses (undersensing) or be too sensitive to intrinsic impulses (oversensing). Undersensing usually involves intrinsic impulses from the myocardium: the atrial P wave and the ventricular R wave. Whereas oversensing tends to involve electrical waves from outside of the heart:

skeletal muscle waves (M waves) and the repolarization wave (T wave).

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Janusz Springer MD for the English translation and valuable input on the first draft of this paper.

References

1. Baranowski R, Wojciechowski D, Kozłowski D, Kukla P, Kurpesa M, Lelakowski J, et al. Electrocardiographic criteria for diagnosis of the heart chamber enlargement, necrosis and repolarisation abnormalities including acute coronary syndromes. Experts’ group statement of the Working Group on Noninvasive Electrocardiology and Telemedicine of Polish Cardiac Society. *Kardiol Pol.* 2016;74(8):812–819.
2. Baranowski R, Wojciechowski D, Kozłowski D, Kukla P, Kurpesa M, Lelakowski J, et al. Compendium for performing and describing the resting electrocardiogram. Diagnostic criteria describe rhythm, electrical axis of the heart, QRS voltage, automaticity and conduction disorders. Experts’ group statement of the Working Group on Noninvasive Electrocardiology and Telemedicine of Polish Cardiac Society. *Kardiol Pol.* 2016;74(5):493–500.
3. Kristian Thygesen, Joseph S. Alpert, Allan S. Jaffe, Bernard R. Chaitman, et al. Fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). Task Force for the Redefinition of Myocardial Infarction. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;00:1-33.
4. Vereckei A, Duray G, Szénási G, Altemose GT, Miller JM. Application of a new algorithm in the differential diagnosis of wide QRS complex tachycardia. *Eur Heart J.* 2007;28(5):589–600.